

# PE Response Team

#### MICHAEL KELLEY, MD

INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGY CARILION CLINIC NRV CHRISTIANSBURG, VA

Proud partner of



# Introduction

**<u>OBJECTIVE</u>**: Align all hospitals in Virginia with a standardized approach to PE management to ensure consistency in care delivery.

#### **GOALS**:

- Adoption of Best Practices: Encouraging the use of evidencebased protocols across all institutions.
- Interdisciplinary Collaboration: Emphasizing the importance of communication between different specialties to provide holistic care.
- **Data Collection and Sharing:** Developing a statewide registry to track outcomes, complications, and the effectiveness of different treatment approaches.





## Integration with National Guidelines

**Alignment with National Guidelines:** Ensure that the state's guidelines for PE management are in line with recommendations from major health organizations such as:

- American College of Chest Physicians (CHEST)
- American Heart Association (AHA)
- Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR)
- American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP)

**Updates and Continuous Improvement:** Regularly reviewing and updating state guidelines to incorporate the latest evidence and innovations in PE management.





# PE patient population profile



#### MASSIVE PE

[High risk] 5% PE population 30% in-hospital mortality 40%<sup>1</sup> mortality @ 3 months

#### SUBMASSIVE PE

[Moderate/Intermediate risk] 40% PE population 4%<sup>2,3</sup> in-hospital mortality 12%<sup>1</sup> mortality @ 3 months

#### **MINOR PE**

[Low risk] 55% PE population Good prognosis Low mortality rate

Goldhaber SZ et al. Acute pulmonary embolism: clinical outcomes in the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry (ICOPER). Lancet 1999;353:1385-1389
 Meyer G et al. Fibrinolysis for Patients with Intermediate Risk Pulmonary Embolism. New Engl J Med 2014; 370: 1402-11
 Casazza F et al. Clinical features and short term outcomes of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. The Italian Pulmonary Embolism Registry (IPER). Thrombosis Research 2012; 130:847-852





#### **PE Risk Stratification**

Patient risk stratification (per AHA 2011 guidelines)		
Massive PE	Submassive PE	Low Risk
High risk	Intermediate risk	Low risk
<ul> <li>Sustained hypotension (systolic BP &lt;90 mmHg for ≥15 min)</li> <li>Inotropic support</li> <li>Signs or symptoms of shock</li> <li>Cardiac arrest</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>•Systemically normotensive (systolic BP ≥90 mmHg)</li> <li>•RV strain</li> <li>•Abnormal troponin (HS trop &gt; 50)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>•Systemically normotensive (systolic BP ≥90 mmHg)</li> <li>•No RV dysfunction</li> <li>•No myocardial necrosis</li> </ul>

#### **RV Strain**

- RV/LV ratio > 0.9 or RV systolic dysfunction on echo
- RV/LV ratio > 0.9 on CT
- Elevation of BNP (>90 pg/mL)
- Elevation of NTpro-BNP (>500 pg/mL)
- Troponin elevation



Jaff et al. Management of massive and submassive pulmonary embolism, iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis, and chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2011;123(16):1788-1830.



5

#### **Acute PE: RV strain**







#### Study outcome events in 906 patients using 2014 ESC model

Risk Category	<u>sPESI</u>	RVD or Elevated Troponin	Death at 30 days
High	>0	Both	23/105: <b>22%</b> (Cl; 14.0-29.8)
Intermediate- high	>0	Both	21/272: <b>7.7%</b> (CI; 4.5-10.9
Intermediate- low	>0	Either one or neither one	20/333: <b>6.0%</b> (CI; 3.4-8.6)
Low	0	Neither one	1/196: <b>0.5%</b> (CI; 0-1.5)





Becattini C, et al. Eur Respir J 2016; 48: 780–786

**V**CSQI

#### **Interventional Options**

- Catheter-directed thrombolysisEKOS
- Catheter-directed thrombectomy
   Inari
  - Penumbra





#### **ULTIMA** Trial



VHAC Virginia Heart Attack

#### **ULTIMA** Trial



VHAC Virginia Heart Attack

**VCSQ** 

#### **Intermediate Risk PE Studies**



VHAC Virginia Heart Attack



## Flash Registry: Inari

#### 800 all-comer patient population across 50 US clinical sites



Characteristic	n (%) or mean ± SD
Age, years	61.2 ± 14.6
History of DVT	143 (17.9%)
History of PE	85 (10.7%)
History of PHTN	77 (9.7%)
Concomitant DVT	512 (65.0%)
Systolic PA pressure ≥70 mmHg	99 (12.7%)
Lytics contraindication	256 (32.1%)

Characteristic	n (%) or mean ± SD	
High-risk PE	63 (7.9%)	
Intermediate-high-risk PE	611 (76.7%)	
Intermediate-low-risk PE	59 (7.4%)	
Intermediate-risk PE (unknown)	64 (8.0%)	
sPESI	1.6 ± 1.1	
Positive biomarker(s)*	720 (94.6%)	
RV/LV Ratio (CT or echo)	1.50 ± 0.5	
Saddle PE	319 (40.0%)	
Unilateral PE	68 (8.5%)	
Bilateral PE	411 (51.5%)	

\*troponin and/or BNP





## Flash Registry: Inari

**Excellent safety results and 30-day mortality outcomes** 

INARI MEDICAL

Primary Endpoint MAE at 48-hours

**1.8**<sup>%</sup> (14/788)

0 device-related deaths11 major bleeds (0 ICH)

3 intraprocedural AEs\*

All-cause mortality at 30-day follow-up



\*1. cardiac injury due to ECMO (not device related) 2. hypotension in a patient with an extraperitoneal hematoma (not device related) 3. Tricuspid regurgitation incidentally noted two months post-procedure (unknown relationship to device)



## Flash Registry: Inari

#### FLASH 30-day mortality in perspective



#### **30-day Mortality**





1. Ismayl M, et al. Am J Cardiol. 2022 (Catheter-directed thrombolysis meta-analysis) 2.PERT Consortium Quality Database. Presented by R. Lookstein. (December 2021)



#### 90% Survival Improvement in High-risk PE



#### FLAME is the largest prospective study of interventional treatment in high-risk PE, a patient

population with a historical in-hospital mortality rate >25%.<sup>1-4</sup> The results show a dramatic improvement in survival in patients treated with the FlowTriever® system.









# **Future Trials**

#### PEERLESS

- FLOWTRIEVER VS CDT FOR INTERMEDIATE-HIGH RISK PE
- Estimated enrollment: 550 patients
- Randomized / parallel assignment
- Also, nonrandomized cohort of 150 patients with contraindications to thrombolysis
- Estimated study start date: March 31, 2022
- Estimated study completion date: Nov 15, 2023

#### PRIMARY ENDPOINT

Win ratio composite at discharge (7 days max):

- 1. All-cause mortality
- 2. ICH
- 3. ISTH major bleeding
- 4. Clinical deterioration and / or bailout
- 5. ICU admission and ICU LOS

ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT05111613





## Case 1

- 68 y/o male who presents with syncope
- Intermediate-high risk PE:
  - RV strain: RV/LV 1.5
  - Elevated HS troponin, 920
  - Large central clot: Saddle PE
- Venous Duplex: R femoral vein DVT





## Chest CTA: Saddle PE







#### **Chest CTA: RV Strain**







## **PE Thrombectomy**







## **PA Angiogram**







# **PA Angiogram**







#### Post Echo







#### Case 2 Acute Intermediate-High Risk PE, RV/LV 1.6 PE Thrombectomy with Inari







#### Case 3 Acute Intermediate-High Risk PE, RV/LV 1.2 PE Thrombectomy with Penumbra







## What is the annual volume of pe interventions at your institution?

- 25 (based on projected volumes)
- 40
- 40-70
- Unsure (2)

## Who performs the pe interventions at your institution? Check all that apply.

N=8





**Other:** 

## Which specialty performs the majority of PE interventions at your institution?





#### Which procedure is predominantly used in your PE response program?



VHAC Virginia Heart Attack

#### Other:

- Do not have data available at this time
- Mix of all



N=8

#### Which devices are used for your PE interventions? Check all that apply.



#### Other:

- Uncertain
- Mix of all









PERT Activation by Calling the Transfer Center at 37154

#### Additional Remarks:

Work up of Suspected PE:

-CBC, BMP, Trop, Pro-BNP, Lactic, EKG,CXR, CTA, PT, PTT, Type & Screen

-CrCl/GFR > 15: Enoxaparin 1mg/kg (Pharmacy will adjust based on CrCl). Max dose 150mg

-CrCl/GFR < 15: or AXI: Heparin bolus + Infusion

#1 CTA findings of RV Strain or Dilation:

-RV:LV chamber ration >1

-Contrast Reflux into IVC

-Septal Flattening or bowing

#2 HS Trop – 1 Elevation >= 50mg/L

#3 Large Central PE = Saddle PE, L or R main PA PE; or a Clot in Transit (RA, RV, IVC)

**#4** Suspected massive/unstable PE: Should have high degree of clinical suspicion based on presentation , risk factors, prior or known VTE, POCUS, + LE Deuplex, etc

**STAT ECHO**: No longer necessary for activation don't delay POCUS may be helpful to r/o alternative etiologies ECHO and Duplex can be completed next day (POCUS: Point of Care Ultrasound)



#### Acute Pulmonary Embolism Clinical Treatment DETAILS and DECISION TREE



Predictor variable	Points
Age, year >80	1
History of Cancer	1
History of chronic cardiopuln	nonary disease 1
Hear rate, bpm >= 100	1
Systolic BP, mmHg,100	1
O2 Saturation <90%	1

## **Next Steps**

- Enhanced participation among different centers
  - Physician and non-physician leaders
- Standardized PERT protocol for the state
- Data Collection:
  - Case Volumes
  - Devices Used
  - Clinical Outcomes:
    - Mortality, bleeding rates, hospital stay
    - PA pressures
    - RV/LV ratio
    - Outcomes for PE and shock





### **Thank You**

For additional questions or inquiries, please contact:

#### Sherri@VCSQI.org

Mpkelley@carilionclinic.org



